(b) whether the Mechanical Assistant Engineer has submitted any report to the Government for effecting improvements in consideration of such representation ;

(c) How much additional grant will be required to store sufficient water to meet the demands of the public during summer season ;

(d) whether such amount would be sanctioned by the Government to meet the water supply for the coming summer in Nemmara.

Mr. M. D. Narayana Ayyar.—(a) Yes. Some complaints were received last year.

(b) Yes.

(c) and (d) The inadequacy of supply is due not to insufficient storage but to the diminished supply from bore-hole. The exact additional amount required for the improvements has not yet been arrived at. The question is engaging attention

Quarrying—amendment of rules regarding.

205. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table.—

(a) a copy of the Proceedings of the Diwan of Cochin (Land Revenue) C. 1425 of 06, dated 3rd March 1931 ;

(b) a draft correction slip to article 112 of the Land Revenue Manual embodying the amendments referred to in the Proceedings ; and

(c) a copy of the amended article referred to above ?

Rao Bahadur Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(a) A copy of the Diwan's Proceedings is appended. (*Appendix V—1*).

(b) and (c) A copy of the draft correction slip as approved by Government is appended. (*Appendix V—2*).

Assessment on double crop on lands assigned at single crop lands.

206. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state how much assessment, from double crop on lands assigned as single crops, was collected last year in each of the taluks of the State and how much will be collected this year ?

Rao Bahadur Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—

Amount collected in 1107.

Cochin-Kanayannur	Rs. 656—12— 3
Mukundapuram	Rs. 1,788— 2— 8
Trichur	Rs. 1,286— 0—10
Talappilli	Rs. 1,338—15— 0

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX V—1.

(Vide answer to Question No 205.)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN.

Revenue Department.

(Land Revenue).

READ (1) the memorial submitted to the Diwan by the inhabitants of Kunnamkulam

(2) Letter dated 25th January 1931, S. C. 790/06, from the Diwan Peishkar.

And (3) Sarvadhikariakar's communication A. No. 1027/06, dated 21st February 1931.

Order C. 1425/1106, dated 3rd March 1931.

One of the requests contained in the memorial read as 1st paper above is for the removal of the rules requiring sanction for laterite quarrying. Although it has been ordered in Diwan's Proceedings dated 25th April 1930, Dis. 139/R/05 that, so far as applications from tenants are concerned, the existing practice of obtaining the sanction of the jenmies should continue the Diwan on further consideration thinks that it is unnecessary for Government to intervene between two private persons in a matter like this. Whether or not a tenant obtain the permission of his jenmi before quarrying is purely a question of private rights with which Government have no concern. When giving permission to a tenant to quarry, however, it should be made clear that the permission granted does not exempt the tenant from obtaining the permission of his jenmi also, should such be necessary.

The Diwan Peishkar is requested to submit for approval a draft correction slip to Article 112 of the Land Revenue Manual embodying the necessay changes.

(True extract)
(Sd)
Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Diwan Peishkar.

APPENDIX V—2.

Correction Slip No. 7 to the Land Revenue Manual.

Delete the existing Note 1 to the Article 112 and substitute the following:—

Note 1.—Applications for permission to quarry from assigned lands presented by a person other than by a registered Pattadar or Inamdar will be granted without a written statement from the Pattadar or Inamdar as the case may be, but the permission thus granted does not exempt the person from obtaining the permission of the jenmi also should such be necesfary.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly